

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

A DDI ICATIONI NO	EU DIC DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY POCKETNO	CONFIDMATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,696	12/23/1999	THIRU SRINIVASAN	1649-(USW-05	7829
22193 7	590 10/16/2002	2		
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL INC			EXAMINER	
LAW DEPT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3800			KRAMER, JAMES A	
DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	09/471,696	SRINIVASAN, THIRU	
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Kramer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amousthe shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main the contract of the main three months after the main three months are three months after the main three months after the main three months are three months after the main three months are three months after the main three months after the months after the main three months after the main three months after the months after the main three months after the months after the months after three months after the mo	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-19 & 21-23 are per final</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—://	
10. Other:	\sim	/ ///	
	Lu		1
James Mann 10/15/02		eth R. Rice	105
U.S. Patern and Trademark Office	A-Ai	D. J. (D.)	ı. 7
PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)/ Adv	isory Action	Part of Paper N	10. /

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: based on broadest definiation of "monitoring" the claims do not overcome the prior art.